

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

**PCT**

## NOTICE INFORMING THE APPLICANT OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION TO THE DESIGNATED OFFICES

(PCT Rule 47.1(c), first sentence)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 29 January 2004 (29.01.2004)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 30291PC01	IMPORTANT NOTICE	
International application No. PCT/DK2003/000504	International filing date (day/month/year) 18 July 2003 (18.07.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22 July 2002 (22.07.2002)
Applicant  PLANTIC APS et al		

To:

PLOUGMANN & VINGTOFT A/S  
Sundkrogsdage 9  
P.O. Box 831  
DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø  
DANEMARK

Plougmann &amp; Vingtoft

09 FEB. 2004

*IB411124*

- Notice is hereby given that the International Bureau has communicated, as provided in Article 20, the international application to the following designated Offices on the date indicated above as the date of mailing of this notice:

AU, AZ, BY, CH, CN, CO, DE, DZ, EP, HU, JP, KG, KP, KR, MD, MK, MZ, RU, TM, US

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c), third sentence, those Offices will accept the present notice as conclusive evidence that the communication of the international application has duly taken place on the date of mailing indicated above and no copy of the international application is required to be furnished by the applicant to the designated Office(s).

- The following designated Offices have waived the requirement for such a communication at this time:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, BA, BB, BG, BR, BZ, CA, CR, CU, CZ, DK, DM, EA, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, ID, IL, IN, IS, KE, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MG, MN, MW, MX, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

The communication will be made to those Offices only upon their request. Furthermore, those Offices do not require the applicant to furnish a copy of the international application (Rule 49.1(a-bis)).

- Enclosed with this notice is a copy of the international application as published by the International Bureau on 29 January 2004 (29.01.2004) under No. WO 2004/009841

- TIME LIMITS for filing a demand for international preliminary examination and for entry into the national phase**

The applicable time limit for entering the national phase will, subject to what is said in the following paragraph, be 30 MONTHS from the priority date, not only in respect of any elected Office if a demand for international preliminary examination is filed before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date, but also in respect of any designated Office, in the absence of filing of such demand, where Article 22(1) as modified with effect from 1 April 2002 applies in respect of that designated Office. For further details, see *PCT Gazette* No. 44/2001 of 1 November 2001, pages 19926, 19932 and 19934, as well as the *PCT Newsletter*, October and November 2001 and February 2002 issues.

In practice, time limits other than the 30-month time limit will continue to apply, for various periods of time, in respect of certain designated or elected Offices. For regular updates on the applicable time limits (20, 21, 30 or 31 months; or other time limit), Office by Office, refer to the *PCT Gazette*, the *PCT Newsletter* and the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II, National Chapters, all available from WIPO's Internet site, at <http://www.wipo.int/pct/en/index.html>.

For filing a demand for international preliminary examination, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, Chapter IX. Only an applicant who is a national or resident of a PCT Contracting State which is bound by Chapter II has the right to file a demand for international preliminary examination (at present, all PCT Contracting States are bound by Chapter II).

It is the applicant's sole responsibility to monitor all these time limits.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer  Gijsbertus Beijer - Carlos Roy
Facsimile No.(41-22) 740.14.35	Telephone No.(41-22) 338.91.11

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

13.11.2004

From the  
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

PLOUGMANN & VINGTOFT AS  
Sundkrogsgade 9  
P.O. Box 831  
DK-2100 Copenhagen  
DANEMARK

NKR / BH

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF  
THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	14.10.2004
-------------------------------------	------------

Applicant's or agent's file reference 30291PC01	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION	
--	------------------------	--

International application No. PCT/DK 03/00504	International filing date (day/month/year) 18.07.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.07.2002
--	--	--

Applicant PLANTIC APS et al.
---------------------------------

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

**4. REMINDER**

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/I/B/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	Authorized Officer
---	--------------------

 European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Cleere, C
--	-----------

Tel. +49 89 2399-7713
-----------------------



**PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**  
**PCT**  
**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT**  
(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 30291PC01	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/DK 03/00504	International filing date (day/month/year) 18.07.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.07.2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C12Q1/68		
Applicant PLANTIC APS et al.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.

This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 4 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I     Basis of the opinion
- II    Priority
- III    Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV    Lack of unity of invention
- V    Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI    Certain documents cited
- VII    Certain defects in the international application
- VIII    Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 31.01.2004	Date of completion of this report 14.10.2004
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:   European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer  Helliot, B Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7793



**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/DK 03/00504

**I. Basis of the report**

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

**Description, Pages**

1-32 as originally filed

**Sequence listings part of the description, Pages**

1-3 as originally filed

**Claims, Numbers**

1-31 filed with telefax on 20.09.2004

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- contained in the international application in written form.
- filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- the description, pages:
- the claims, Nos.: 32
- the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/DK 03/00504

5.  This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

*(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)*

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

**V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-31
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-31
	No: Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-31
	No: Claims	

**2. Citations and explanations**

**see separate sheet**

**ITEM V:**

**1- INTRODUCTION**

The following documents (D1-D2) are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: Revenkova E. et al. (1999), Involvement of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ribosomal protein S27 in mRNA degradation triggered by genotoxic stress. EMBO Journal 18(2): 490-499.

D2: WO-A-9630402

The present application relates to a method of identifying a nucleic acid sequence encoding a product that is involved<sup>2</sup> in cell growth regulation in an eukaryotic target organism.

**3- NOVELTY (Art. 33(2) PCT) and INVENTIVENESS (Art. 33(3) PCT)**

- 3-1 No cited prior-art document discloses a method of identifying a nucleic acid sequence encoding a product that is involved in cell growth regulation in an eukaryotic target organism, the method comprising the step of:
- a) providing a plant that is genetically modified to have tissue exhibiting, relative to the tissue of its non-genetically modified parent plant, accelerated growth,
  - b) subjecting a multiplicity of the genetically modified plant, or parts thereof to a mutagenisation treatment,
  - c) selecting from the thus treated plants or parts thereof mutant plants having, relative to the wild type plant or the genetically modified plants of step a), a phenotype characterized by an altered morphological structure or an altered colour,
  - d) identifying in said selected mutant plants nucleic acid sequence(s) having a nucleic acid sequence which is different from the corresponding sequence(s) in a non-mutagenised wild type plant or the genetically modified plant, and using said nucleic acid sequence(s),
  - e) identifying in the eukaryotic target organism a target nucleic acid

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/DK.03/00504

sequence comprising a sequence encoding a product that is involved in cell growth regulation.

Therefore, the subject-matter of independent **claim 1** is considered novel in the sense of Art. 33(2) PCT.

Moreover, the subject-matter of **claim 1** does involve an inventive step over the disclosure of D1 (Art. 33(3) PCT).

D1, which is considered as the closest prior art, describes an *Arabidopsis* mutant with elevated sensitivity to DNA damaging treatments wherein:

- I) a collection of 800 families of *Arabidopsis* containing random insertions of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* T-DNA is screened for individuals with elevated sensitivity to two kinds of DNA-damaging agents: UV-C and methyl methane sulfonate (MMS) (p. 491, c. 1, l. 38-41),
- ii) one family is found to contain individuals with increased sensitivity to both UV-C and MMS (p. 491, c. 1, l. 50-51) on the basis of morphological observation (p. 491, c. 1, l. 52-53 and Fig. 1),
- iii) the mutant locus is characterized and corresponds to an open reading frame encoding a protein of 86 amino acids and a database search reveals that the deduced amino acid sequences shares 77% identity and 83% similarity to the rat ribosomal protein S27 (p. 491, c. 2, l. 8-10 and l. 15-20),
- iv) in contrast to the wild type, the growth of ars27A mutant, (ars27A is the homologue of S27 in *Arabidopsis*), is accompanied by characteristic developmental abnormalities, like tumor-like structures on the main root, while the wild-type seedling in the same conditions never displayed such a trait (p. 493, c. 2, l. 3-11).

The subject-matter of **claim 1** differs from that of D1 in that a population of genetically modified plants, which have been modified to obtain an increased cell division frequency leading to an accelerated growth and development, while typically retaining an overall morphological structure similar to the wild type plant from which they are derived, is created in order to find knocked-out or activated genes involved in the regulation of plant cell growth.

Thus, the technical problem to be solved by the subject-matter of said **claim 1** may be regarded as providing an alternative method to that of D1.

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY  
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/DK 03/00504

In view of the absence of any indications which would have lead the skilled person to apply the method of D1 to a population of genetically modified plants, which are modified to have an increased cell division frequency leading to an accelerated growth and development, it would not have been obvious for the skilled person to develop a method of identifying a nucleic acid sequence encoding a product that is involved in cell growth regulation in an eukaryotic target organism as disclosed in **claim 1**.

Therefore, the subject-matter of **claim 1** involves an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

3-2 Dependent **claims 2-30** further define specific embodiments of the novel and inventive method of claim 1.

Dependent **claims 2-30** are hence also considered to meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) and (3) PCT.

3-3 Moreover, no cited prior-art document discloses a method of determining the tumor suppressor activity, if any, of a gene product encoded by an eukaryotic cell gene, the method comprising the different step as defined in said claim 31 and wherein a gene involved in cell cycle regulation is identified first in a wild-type or genetically modified plant and then in an eukaryotic cell.

Therefore, the subject-matter of independent **claim 31** is considered novel in the sense of Art. 33(2) PCT.

Moreover, the subject-matter of **claim 31** does involve an inventive step over the disclosure of D2 (Art. 33(3) PCT).

D2 relates to a method of identifying a tumor suppressor gene comprising (a) identifying an overproliferation phenotype in a genetic mosaic; and (b) isolating a gene that is mutated in cells exhibiting said overproliferation phenotype, wherein:

- I) the genetic mosaic is achieved by induction of somatic cells in an animal that is heterozygous for an induced mutation to become homozygous for the mutation, at any desired developmental stage,
- ii) the mutation can be induced by any known method, e.g., X-ray exposure or chemical mutation exposure or insertion of a transposable element (p. 80, §. 1),
- iii) the lats gene is identified in *Drosophila melanogaster* showing over

proliferation mutation (p. 83, l. 30-32)  
iv) the lats homologue genes in mammalian is isolated and characterized in mouse (p. 99, l. 21 - p. 100, l. 16), in human (p. 100, l. 17 - p. 101, l. 20),  
v).drosophila lats gene is cloned into an appropriate vector which contains the necessary elements for the transcription and translation (p. 19, l. 30-32),  
vi) the plant expression vectors comprises the nopaline synthetase promoter region or the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S RNA promoter (p. 21, l. 4-7),  
vii) chemical mutagenesis can be carried out to reduce or destroy endogenous lats function in order to increase the growth (p. 70, l. 28-29).

The subject-matter of **claim 31** differs from that of D2, which is considered as the closest prior art, in that the gene putatively involved in cell growth regulation is first identified in a wild-type or genetically modified plant, which is subjected to a mutagenisation treatment, before its identification in an eukaryotic cell and in that the mutated plant is subsequently reversed with the gene sequence identified in the eukaryotic cell, such reversion being indicative of tumor suppressor activity.

In view of the absence of any indications which would have lead the skilled person to i) identify tumor suppressor genes in a population of wild-type or genetically modified plants, which is subjected to a mutagenisation treatment, before its identification in an eukaryotic cell and then to ii) reverse the mutated plant population to its wild type phenotype, such reversion being indicative of tumor suppressor activity, it would not have been obvious for the skilled person to develop a method of determining the tumor suppressor activity, if any, of a gene product encoded by a eukaryotic cell gene as disclosed in **claim 31**.

Therefore, the subject-matter of **claim 31** involves an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

4- REMARK

It is drawn to the attention of the Applicant that **claim 27**, which relates to a method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the effect of expressing the target nucleic acid sequence is assayed in an in vivo model, would be considered unallowable by some National/Regional Authorities, insofar as the eukaryotic target organism includes humans as disclosed in the present description (see p. 15, l. 15-20).

10/522121

DT15 Rec'd PCT/PTO 24 JAN 2005.

Monday 20 of Sep 2004, ARATOR +4533639600 -&gt;+49 89 23994465

Page 2 of 9

30291PC01

1

## CLAIMS

1. A method of identifying in a eukaryotic target organism a nucleic acid sequence encoding a product that is involved in cell growth regulation in said target organism, the method comprising the steps of:
  - (a) providing a plant that is genetically modified to have tissue exhibiting, relative to the tissue of its non-genetically modified parent plant, accelerated growth,
  - (b) subjecting a multiplicity of the genetically modified plant, or parts thereof to a mutagenisation treatment,
  - (c) selecting from the thus treated plants or parts thereof mutant plants having, relative to the wild type plant or the genetically modified plant of step (a), a phenotype characterised by an altered morphological structure or an altered colour,
  - (d) identifying in said selected mutant plants nucleic acid sequence(s) having a nucleic acid sequence which is different from the corresponding sequence(s) in a non-mutagenised wild type plant or the genetically modified plant, and, using said nucleic acid sequence(s).
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the wild type plant or the parent plant for the genetically modified plant of step (a) is selected from a group consisting of *Lotus japonicus*, *Medicago truncatula*, *Oryza sativa*, *Antirrhinum majus* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
3. A method according to claim 2, wherein the wild type plant or the parent plant is *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
4. A method according to any of claims 1-3, wherein the accelerated growth of tissue of the genetically modified plant is due to overexpression of a gene selected from the group consisting of a gene coding for a cyclin, a gene coding for a transcription factor including E1A, E2F, myc, and any other gene positively affecting the cell cycle regulatory system.
5. A method according to claim 4, wherein the gene coding for a cyclin is selected from the group consisting of a gene encoding a cyclin of B-type and a gene encoding a cyclin of D type.
6. A method according to any of claims 1-5, wherein the genetically modified plant is obtained by introducing into a cell of the parent plant a gene construct comprising a promoter and, operably linked thereto, a nucleotide sequence encoding a gene product that is involved in acceleration of growth in a tissue of the thus modified plant.

Monday 20 of Sep 2004, ARATOR +4533639600 -&gt;+49 89 23994465

Page 3 of 9

30291PC01

2

7. A method according to claim 6, wherein said gene product activates the cell cycle regulatory system of the plant.
8. A method according to claim 7, wherein the gene product is encoded by a gene selected from the group consisting of a gene coding for a cyclin, a gene coding for a transcription factor including E2F and myc, and any other gene positively affecting the cell cycle regulatory system.
9. A method according to claim 8, wherein the gene coding for cyclin is selected from the group consisting of the cyc1At gene (encoding a mitotic cyclin of B-type), the AtcycD2 gene (encoding a G1 cyclin of D-type) and the AtcycD1 gene (also encoding a G1 cyclin).
10. A method according to claim 6, wherein the promoter is a plant gene promoter.
- 15 11. A method according to claim 10, wherein the promoter is selected from the group consisting of an inducible promoter and a constitutive promoter.
12. A method according to claim 10, wherein the plant gene promoter is selected from the group consisting of an Atcdc2a promoter (prAtcdc2a), a 3SS promoter and an Atcdc2b promoter.
13. A method according to claim 6 wherein the gene construct comprises a polyadenylation site.
- 25 14. A method according to claim 13, wherein the polyadenylation site is derived from the Nopaline synthetase gene of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, an octopine synthetase gene or 3SS polyadenylation sequences.
- 15 16. A method according to any of claims 6-14, wherein the gene construct is introduced by means of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* or *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*.
- 30 17. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the mutagenisation treatment of step (b) is performed by a method selected from the group consisting of EMS mutagenesis, T-DNA-mutagenesis and mutagenesis by using a transposable element.
- 35 18. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the identification in step (d) of nucleic acid sequence(s) having a sequence which is different from the corresponding sequence(s) in the non-mutagenised transgenic plant is performed using a method selected from the group consisting of an Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP) method, a Single Sequence Length Polymorphism (SSLP), a differential display method, a restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) method, a Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism (SSCP) method, allele specific amplification, restriction PCR, PCR sequencing and a Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) method.

Monday 20 of Sep 2004, ARATOR +4533639600 ->+49 89 23894465 Page 4 of 9

30291PC01

3

18. A method according to claim 17, wherein the identification method is an SS LP method.
19. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the nucleic acid sequence identified in step (d) and/or the product encoded by the sequence is functionally associated with the phenotype of the selected mutant plants of step (c).
20. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein, in step (e), the target nucleic acid sequence is identified by a homology search in a genome database for the target organism or by molecular probing.
21. A method according to claims 20, wherein the molecular probing is carried out using a method selected from the group consisting of PCR, northern blotting, Southern blotting, arraying and direct sequencing.
22. A method according to any of the preceding claims comprising the further step of isolating the target nucleic acid sequence identified in step (e).
23. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the product of the target nucleic acid sequence is functionally active in a signal transduction cascade leading to suppression of cell growth in the target organism.
24. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the product of the target nucleic acid sequence is a suppressor of cell growth in the target organism.
25. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein a putative functional association between the plant nucleic acid sequence identified in step (d) and the target nucleic acid sequence is determined by homology analysis between said plant nucleic sequence and said target nucleic sequence.
26. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein a putative functional association between the plant nucleic acid sequence identified in step (d) and the target nucleic acid sequence is determined by analysing the effect of expressing the target nucleic acid sequence in an *in vitro* model for assaying cell growth regulation activity.
27. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein a putative functional association between the plant nucleic acid sequence identified in step (d) and the target nucleic acid sequence is determined by analysing the effect of expressing the target nucleic acid sequence in an *in vivo* model for assaying cell growth regulation activity.
28. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the eukaryotic target organism is a cell selected from the group consisting of a microbial cell, a plant cell and a mammalian cell.

Monday 20 of Sep 2004, ARATOR +4533639600 -&gt;+49 89 23994465

Page 5 of 9

30291PC01

4

29. A method according to claim 28, wherein the microbial cell is a yeast cell.

30. A method according to claim 28, wherein the mammalian cell is a cell of a mammal selected from the group consisting of insects, birds, mice, rats, guinea pigs, cats, dogs, apes, primates including humans.

31. A method of determining the tumour suppressor activity, if any, of a gene product encoded by a eukaryotic cell gene, the method comprising the steps of:

10

(a) providing a wild type plant or a plant that is genetically modified to have tissue exhibiting, relative to the tissue of its non-genetically modified parent plant, accelerated growth,

15

(b) subjecting a multiplicity of the wild type plant or the genetically modified plant or parts thereof to a mutagenisation treatment,

(c) selecting from the thus treated plants or parts thereof a mutant plant having, relative to the wild type plant or the genetically modified plant of step (a), a phenotype characterised by an altered morphological structure or an altered colour,

20

(d) identifying in said selected mutant plant a nucleic acid sequence having a sequence which is different from the corresponding sequence in the non-mutagenised wild type plant or genetically modified plant, and, using said different nucleic acid, identifying in the eukaryotic cell a homologue or analogue gene putatively involved in cell cycle regulation,

25

(e) transforming the coding sequence of said homologue or analogue gene into a mutant plant of step (c) under conditions permitting the sequence to be expressed, and

(f) determining whether or not the thus transformed mutant plant reverts to its wild type

30 phenotype, such reversion being indicative of tumour suppressor activity of the homologue or analogue gene product.